Suckling and by Pass Fat in the Postpartum Reproductive Activity of Hair Sheep
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To evaluate the effect of by pass fat and suckling control on postpartum reproductive activity of hair ewes.

Design/Methodology/Approach: Eighty-one (81) hair ewes with average weight of 58±8 kg were used, which on postpartum day 7 were assigned to one of four treatments under a completely randomized design with 2×2 factorial arrangement. Factor A is the type of suckling [continuous suckling (CS) and suckling control (SC)] and factor B, by pass fat (with or without). In CS, ewes remained with their offspring 24 hours a day (n=16). In continuous suckling plus fat (CSF), ewes remained with the offspring all day plus the addition of 8% in dietary fat (n=24). In SC, it was 30 min of suckling only twice per day (n=14). In suckling control plus fat (SCF), controlled suckling lasted 30 min, twice a day plus 8% fat (n=25).

Results: The response to the treatments was similar (p>0.05) in onset of estrus, return rate, gestation, prolificacy and fertilization; for the lambing rate variable, there were differences (p<0.05), the SCF treatment was higher (68%) compared to CS (50%) and CSF (50%), but equal (p<0.05) to SC (57.1%).

Implications: In this experiment, it was observed that the SCF treatment presented a better response, implying that controlling suckling and providing by pass fat helps to restore ovarian activity sooner after lambing.

Conclusions: Suckling control plus inclusion of dietary by pass fat in ovulation induction protocols during early postpartum (around day 25 postpartum) can be included in routine reproductive management of flocks, improving the reproductive efficiency of hair sheep.
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INTRODUCTION

Sheep farming is an activity of great economic importance in Mexico, and this can be sustained with the growing demand for meat of this species. In addition, imports evidence the need to produce increasingly more (SIAP, 2019).
This can be achieved if emphasis is placed, among others, on improving the reproductive parameters of the flocks; that is, if the number of lambs born per ewe at each lambing is increased through various reproductive techniques and adequate knowledge in physiology and endocrinology, since they are essential to improve the reproductive efficiency of the flock (Meikle et al., 2001; Cortez-Romero and Gallegos-Sánchez, 2014).

Adequate nutrient supply at appropriate times during gestation ensures successful productive and reproductive efficiency of mothers and offspring during postpartum (Radunz et al., 2011; Abdalla et al., 2012; Mahboub et al., 2013; Vicente-Pérez et al., 2015). Therefore, high energy diets have been used to cover the ewes’ energy requirements. Among the ingredients that have been used, the use of excess fat to cover such energy requirements stands out (Machmüller et al., 2000; Bhatt et al., 2013; Bianchi et al., 2018). It has been observed that by pass fat escapes fermentation and bio-hydrogenation that takes place in the rumen, in addition to improving fiber digestibility (Palmquist and Jenkins, 1980; Behan et al., 2019).

Also, it has been shown that suckling control during lactation can decrease or inhibit the negative effects that the negative energy balance and continued suckling often have on follicular development and ovulation after lambing (Fray et al., 1995; Scaramuzza et al., 1996; Abu Ishmais et al., 2004; Morales-Terán et al., 2004; Pérez-Hernández et al., 2009; Castillo-Maldonado et al., 2013). Therefore, the objective of the present study was to evaluate the effect of suckling control and by pass fat on the restoration of postpartum ovarian activity in hair ewes.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Location of the study area.** The study was conducted at the Sheep and Goat Reproduction Laboratory (LaROCa) of Colegio de Postgraduados, located in Montecillo, Texcoco de Mora, Estado de México (19° 29’ LN and 98° 53’ LW) at an altitude of 2250 m. The region has a temperate climate [C(W)], mean annual rainfall of 664 mm and average annual temperature of 15°C (García, 2004).

**Animals, management and treatments.** A total of 81 multiparous hair ewes were used, with lambing at the end of November, and average weight of 58±8 kg at lambing. Seven days after lambing, ewes were assigned to one of four treatments using a completely randomized design with factorial arrangement, where factor A is type of suckling [continuous suckling (CS) and suckling control (SC)] and factor B is fat use (fat and non-fat). In continuous suckling (CS; n=16), mother and lamb remained together for 24 h per day. In continuous suckling + fat (CSF; n=26), the mother remained in contact with the lamb 24 h per day, and 8% fat was added to the diet. In suckling control (SC; n=14), suckling was controlled for 1 h per day, that is, 30 min of suckling twice a day, and the rest of the time the lambs were separated from their mothers. In suckling control + fat (SCF; n=25), suckling was controlled for 30 min, 2 times per day, and 8% by pass fat was added to the diet; the remaining time, mothers and lambs were separated. The lambs were weaned at 60 days of age.

**Feeding.** The ewes were fed a balanced diet covering the nutritional needs of ewes in the physiological stage of lactation (2.3 Mcal kg\(^{-1}\) dry matter of metabolizable energy and
15% crude protein), according to the recommendations (NRC, 2007). For the treatments where by pass fat was offered, 8% of this ingredient was added in the diet (Table 1). Water availability was *ad libitum* during the entire study.

The experimental diets were offered from day 5, when the ovulation induction protocol was started, until day 9. As for the lambs, they were fed on the colostrum produced by their mothers during the first days of life. On day 7 of birth, they were assigned with their mothers to the respective treatments. Lambs assigned to suckling control were separated from their mothers and provided with free access to pelleted starter concentrate (creep-feeding) in their pens.

**Ovulation induction.** The ovulation induction protocol consisted of the insertion of an intravaginal device (CIDR, Controlled Internal Drug Release; with 0.3 g of progesterone, Pfizer) in the ewe for 9 days starting on postpartum day 25. At 48 hours before withdrawal, 1 mL of prostaglandin (PGF2α, Dinoprost; Lutalyse, Pharmacia & Upjohn, Michigan, USA) ewe−1 was applied intramuscularly. The CIDR was removed and detecting estrus was immediately done every 4 hr for 72 hr with the help of male sires equipped with special aprons to prevent copulation.

**Artificial insemination.** Prior to insemination, semen was collected and evaluated from healthy and reproductively suitable rams. Artificial insemination (AI) was performed between 12 and 18 hours after estrus. The ewes were shaved and disinfected in the abdominal region. Insemination was then performed by the abdominal laparoscopy technique, in which a 0.25 mL with semen was introduced and a half dose was deposited in each uterine horn.

**Diagnosis of pregnancy.** Pregnancy diagnosis was performed by ultrasonography at 35 days post-insemination with the aid of a trans-rectal transducer integrated to an ultrasound equipment (Aloka SSD 500).

**Reproductive variables.** The following reproductive variables were evaluated to assess the restart of postpartum reproductive activity in ewes.

**Estrus response.** Number of ewes that showed signs of estrus after removal of the device, with respect to the total number of ewes in each treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredient (%)*</th>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>AC</th>
<th>AC+G</th>
<th>CA</th>
<th>CA+G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wheat straw</td>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alfalfa</td>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentrate</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By pass fat</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minerals</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molasses</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Portions calculated on the basis of what was offered per kg of dry matter.

AC: Continuous suckling, AC+G: By pass fat + Continuous suckling, CA: Control suckling, CAG: By pass fat + control suckling.
Onset of estrus. Interval between removal of CIDR and onset of estrus. The distribution was determined by the number of ewes that went into estrus up to 72 hours after removal of the CIDR.

Return to estrus. Number of ewes that showed estrus 17 or 34 days post-insemination.

Pregnancy rate. Number of ewes diagnosed as pregnant with respect to the total number of ewes per treatment.

Lambing rate. Number of ewes lambed in relation to the total number of ewes per treatment.

Fertility. Total number of lambs born in relation to the total number of ewes per treatment.

Prolificacy. Number of lambs born in relation to the number of ewes lambed per treatment.

Statistical analysis. The statistical package Statistical Analysis Systems (SAS, 2012) was used for data analysis, considering significant differences at $p \leq 0.05$. The variables response to estrus, return to estrus, gestation rate and lambing rate were analyzed by logistic regression with PROC LOGISTIC. The variables prolificacy and fertility were analyzed by means of a POISSON distribution using PROC GENMOD. For the variable onset at estrus, the Shapiro and Wilk test (Shapiro and Wilk, 1965) was performed to
observe univariate normality and then the analysis was performed with the Kaplan Meier survival curves method using the Log-Rank test, with the LIFETEST procedure.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results obtained are shown in Table 2. No significant differences were found in the variables response to estrus, return to estrus, pregnancy rate, prolificacy, or fertility. Differences (p > 0.05) were only observed in lambing rate.

Figure 1 shows the survival curves of the treatments; it shows the distribution of the hours of onset at estrus for each treatment. The onset at estrus was not affected by the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Rate of estrus (%)</th>
<th>Rate of return (%)</th>
<th>Rate of pregnancy (%)</th>
<th>Rate of lambing (%)</th>
<th>Prolificacy</th>
<th>Fecundity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>100 a (16/16)</td>
<td>31.3 a (5/16)</td>
<td>50 a (8/16)</td>
<td>50 a (8/16)</td>
<td>1.5 a</td>
<td>0.75ª</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACG</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>100 a (26/26)</td>
<td>23.1 a (6/26)</td>
<td>50 a (13/26)</td>
<td>50 a (13/26)</td>
<td>1.7 a</td>
<td>0.84ª</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>100 a (14/14)</td>
<td>42.9 a (6/14)</td>
<td>57.1 a (8/14)</td>
<td>57.1 ab (8/14)</td>
<td>1.8 a</td>
<td>1.0ª</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAG</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>100 a (25/25)</td>
<td>12 a (3/25)</td>
<td>68 a (17/25)</td>
<td>68.0 b (17/25)</td>
<td>1.9 a</td>
<td>1.32ª</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Survival curves from onset to estrus formed by Kaplan Meier survival estimators at different times per treatment (P < 0.05).

AC: Continuous suckling, ACG: By pass fat + Continuous suckling, CA: Control suckling, CAG: By pass fat + control suckling.

Table 2. Reproductive variables evaluated in the postpartum of hair ewes.

AC: Continuous suckling, ACG: By pass fat + Continuous suckling, CA: Control suckling, CAG: By pass fat + control suckling.

a,b Different letters between rows indicate differences (P < 0.05).
addition of by pass fat or suckling control, however, it is shown that ewes belonging to the SC group (suckling control) went into estrus on average at 36.09±4.19 hours, and the total ewes in that treatment before 60 hours after removal of the device. In the same way, it can be observed that ewes with continuous suckling were the last to come into estrus, after 80 hours.

Regarding the variable rate of return to estrus, it was found that the CS treatment had 31.3%, CSF 23.1%, SC 42.9% and SCF 12%, with no significant differences (p>0.05). Similarly, the pregnancy rate had no significant differences (p>0.05). For the lambing rate variable, the results obtained show differences (p<0.05) and it is observed that the SCF treatment was higher (68%), compared to CS (50%) and CSF (50%), but equal (p>0.05) to SC (57.1%); while CS and CSF were equal (p>0.05).

It has been shown that hair ewes respond satisfactorily to the ovulation induction protocols before 60 days postpartum (Morales-Terán et al., 2011). This information is similar to that reported by Castillo-Maldonado et al. (2013) who showed that ewes restart their postpartum reproductive activity around day 35 after lambing. Likewise, in this study, ovulation induction in hair ewes was performed on average on postpartum day 25, with the aim of performing artificial insemination on approximately postpartum day 35. In addition, it was observed that ewes responded to the ovulation induction protocol, as all ewes showed signs of estrus after CIDR withdrawal. It has also been shown that decreasing the contact of the lamb with its mother positively increases the response to ovulation induction protocols; that is, females with suckling control reestablish ovarian activity sooner than females with continuous suckling after lambing (Morales-Terán et al., 2011; Castillo-Maldonado et al., 2013).

The lambing rate results found in this experiment agree with authors who reported that continuous suckling causes a lower lambing rate, confirming that the effect of suckling is a factor that directly influences the inhibition of postpartum ovarian activity in ewes (Fraire-Cordero et al., 2018), as it is known to inhibit the secretion frequency of GnRH/LH pulses. A possible reason to explain the better response of the SCF treatment may be because of the interaction observed between suckling control and by pass fat, that is, a decrease in suckling frequency (reducing the number of times the lamb sucks the mammary gland) and an increase in available energy that helps to increase the frequency of secretion of gonadotropin (LH and FSH) pulses, although some authors, such as Bayourthe et al. (1993) mentioned that an increase of more than 5% of excess fat in the diet causes negative effects on fiber digestibility, and also a decrease in the population of ruminal microorganisms (Palmquist and Jenkins, 1980). However, in this study, where 8% of fat was used in the diet, no decrease in the reproductive variables studied was observed.

CONCLUSIONS

Suckling control plus the inclusion of dietary by pass fat in ovulation induction protocols during early postpartum (around day 25 postpartum) can be included in routine reproductive management of flocks, thus improving the reproductive efficiency of hair ewes.
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