Soil fertility classification for sugarcane in supply areas of a sugar mill
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ABSTRACT

Objective. To prepare the fertility classification for the sugarcane-cultivated soils in the Pujiltic Sugarcane Mill (PSM) supply area in order to improve decision-making.

Design/methodology/approach. The soils were classified according to their fertility (FCC), using a system based on the quantifiable parameters of the upper soil layer and some characteristics of the subsoil directly linked to the growth of sugarcane.

Results. Six factors limited the agricultural potential of the PSM soils: alkalinity, water excess or deficit, clay content, erosion, nutritional deficiencies, and low CEC, which alone or in groups act in detriment of soil fertility.

Limitations/implications. Solving these problems requires a comprehensive analysis that considers crop type, planting season, and technology availability.

Findings/conclusions. The soil fertility classification system enabled the classification of 11 soil subunits of the PSM area.
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INTRODUCTION

The Pujiltic Sugarcane Mill is the most important of its kind in the State of Chiapas. It has a cultivated surface of 17,100 ha and uses auxiliary irrigation, with a yield of 90.60 t ha⁻¹ of sugarcane and a factory yield of 12.01%, according to the Comité Nacional para el Desarrollo Sustentable de la Caña de Azúcar (CONADESUCA, 2019).

The agricultural production of sugarcane is affected by droughts and a diminished soil fertility. As an alternative, production can be boosted through the increase of the cultivable surface and——according to studies about the yields of sugarcane——, these can be increased through adequate soil fertility management practices and the use of improved...
varieties (Pérez et al., 2019). Local experiments have shown that the integration of soil fertility and nutrient management is an advanced approach that serves as a resource to increase or maintain soil fertility throughout time (Salgado-García et al., 2008; Salgado et al., 2013; Salgado-Velázquez et al., 2020). However, a diagnosis is needed in order to identify limitations. Buol et al. (1975) developed a system to classify soils according to their fertility (Fertility Capability Classification or FCC), with the aim of closing the gap between the classification and soil fertility subdisciplines. As a technical soil classification system, the FCC has a specific use, derived from natural classification systems such as soil taxonomy (Soil Survey Staff, 2014) or the World Reference Base for Soil Resources (IUSS Working Group WRB, 2014). The FCC’s categories indicate the main limitations of the soils according to their fertility, which can be interpreted in relation to the crops of interest. Since its publication in 1975, the FCC has been assessed and applied in several countries. As a result, the definitions of several modifiers have changed and new ones have been therefore included to improve the system (Sánchez et al., 1982). The system is a good starting point to study the suitability of tropical soils. In the Mexican tropic, particularly in the State of Tabasco, this system has only been applied in three regions, resulting in good agronomic management recommendations (Salgado and Palma, 2002; Salgado and Obrador, 2012; Salgado-Velázquez et al., 2017). Knowing the FCC’s classes allows us to identify the fertility limitations and—given the importance of sugarcane in the State of Chiapas—generating the said information is necessary. Moreover, a soil study of 33,974.7 ha is available for the Pujiltic Sugar Mill (Salgado-García et al., 2006). Therefore, the objective of this article was to develop the fertility classification of the sugarcane-cultivated soils in the PSM supply area.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study area covered a surface of 33,974.7 ha, divided in 11 soil subunits (Figure 1), all of which are cultivated with sugarcane (Figure 2). The physical and chemical properties data of the first two horizons of each soil subunit in the PSM supply area was taken from the soil study conducted by Salgado-García et al. (2006). The system to classify soils according to their fertility (FCC) was used. This system comprises three categories which, in turn, comprise different classes. The combination of these classes makes up the FCC units:

**Type.** The texture of the plow layer or the top 20 cm, whichever is shallower.
- **S:** Sandy topsoil: loamy sands and sands (Soil Survey Staff, 2014).
- **L:** Loamy topsoil: <35% clay, but without loamy sand or sand.
- **C:** Clayey topsoil: >35% clay.
- **O:** Organic soils: >30% organic matter (OM) up to a depth of 50 cm or more.

**Substrata type (subsoil texture).** This is only used when there is a marked textural change relative to the surface or if a hard layer hinders root growth up to the first 50 cm of the soil.
- **S:** Sandy subsoil: same as in type; **L:** Loamy subsoil: texture similar to type;
- **C:** Clayey subsoil: texture similar to type; **R:** Rock or another hard layer hindering root development.
Modifiers. When more than one criterion is indicated for each modifier, only one needs to be known. Ideally, the first criterion mentioned should be used if data is available. The following criteria are presented for those cases in which identifying the first one is impossible (Sánchez et al., 1982): g (grey), d (dry), e (low cation exchange capacity), a (aluminum toxicity), h (acid), i (high P-fixation by iron), x (short-range-order minerals), v (vertisol), k (low K reserves), b (basic reaction), s (salinity), n (natric), c (cat clay), ′ and ″ (gravel), % (slope).

Procedure. Soils are classified according to these parameters by determining if the characteristic is present or not. Most quantitative limits are criteria found in the Soil Taxonomy and the World Reference Base for Soil Resources (Soil Survey Staff, 2014; IUSS et al., 2014). FCC units list the type of texture and substrata (if they differ) in capital letters, the modifiers in lowercase, the gravel modifier with a prime symbol (′), and the slope in parentheses, if so wished.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Soil Study

Table 1 presents the chemical and physical properties of the first two horizons of the soil subunits and Figure 1 shows the representative profiles. The FCC classification for each soil subunit is presented below.

Cb. Chernic Chernozem (CHch). This soil subunit has a mollic horizon, it is deep and well structured, has a high base saturation (80% or more), high content of organic matter (2.5% or more), and a high biological activity. These soils have clayey textures in most horizons, with a moderate permeability. The irrigation availability allows yields of up to 116 t ha\(^{-1}\) (Salgado et al., 2014).

Lb (12%). Hypocalcic Calcisol (CLccw). This subunit presents a medium infiltration index and a medium water retention capacity; it has secondary carbonate concentrations up to a depth of 100 cm from the soil surface. Its rapid permeability allows a good drainage. The pH is generally considered as moderately alkaline; the electric conductivity (EC) is 0.20 dS m\(^{-1}\), which indicates that no salinity problems are present; the OM is very rich in the plow layer and poor in the last horizons (5.33-1.24%). Given the calcareous nature of these soils, the use of fertilizers derived from phosphate rock or other non-water-soluble phosphates must be avoided. Its iron, boron, and zinc deficiency must be supplemented through chemical or organic fertilization (Salgado et al., 2010).

Cbv (<5%). Vertic Calcisol (CLvr). This subunit has a vertic horizon up to a depth of 100 cm from the soil surface. These soils present a subsurface clayey horizon, as a result of expansion and contraction. Its slickensides or structural aggregates have 30% more clay throughout its thickness. Most of this soil profile shows >60% clay contents; however, these clay contents and the bulk density (BD) (1 g cm\(^{-3}\)) do not cause compaction problems, likely as a result of its high organic matter and calcium contents. Based on field observations, these soils have cutans as a result of the accumulation of carbonates, which react strongly to HCl. Moreover, they have soft, small, cream-colored CaCO\(_3\) nodules; the profile presents a good drainage, although permeability goes from moderate to slow, which is attributed to a high clay content (Salgado et al., 2006).
Cb (<1%). Mollic Cambisol (CMmo). This subunit has a low infiltration index and good water retention capacity. Its soils have a high base saturation (>50%) and a high OM content. They have a moderately alkaline pH and no salinity problems (EC<1 dS m⁻¹).

Cbeg (5%). Calcaric Fluvisol (FLca). This subunit has a low infiltration index and good water retention capacity. It is a calcareous soil at least up to a 20-50 cm depth from the soil surface. Based on field observations, the water table was found at a depth of 150 cm; the gleyic processes at this depth gives the soil a grey color. These soils have an average BD of 1 g cm⁻³ and have no compaction problems. They have a moderately alkaline pH and no salinity problems, because their EC ranges from 0.13 to 0.47 dS m⁻¹. The OM has an irregularly arranged profile: it is rich in the first horizon, decreases as the depth increases, and increases again at a still lower depth (3.2-0.4-3.1%), as a result of the continuous alluviation processes. The subunit has a low cation-exchange capacity (CEC), which favors lixiviation, especially in the cases of K, Ca, and Mg. Therefore, we recommend using 10 t ha⁻¹ of compost in order not to limit crop development (Salgado et al., 2014). This soil presented a lower K content than Vertisols, contrary to the results reported by Bolio et al. (2008) for the sugarcane soils in Chontalpa, Tabasco.

Cbg (<1%). Mollic Gleysol (GLmo). This subunit’s soils have high nutrient and organic matter contents; they present loamy textures in the surface that overlie silty clay textures (C horizon). Occasionally, the bottom of the profile may present sandy textures. These soils are deep, although most of the year the water table is found near the surface, causing the sugarcane rooting depth to be less than 60 cm. These soils are predominantly characterized by a clayey texture, grey colors due to gleyzation processes, and poor drainage. Therefore, drainage is necessary to avoid altering the crop’s physiology and to allow it to ripen in optimal conditions (Méndez-Adorno et al., 2016). The 1 g cm⁻³ BD does not indicate compaction problems and most horizons maintain a strong reaction to HCl. These soils have a moderately alkaline pH and the EC does not indicate salinity problems (<3 dS m⁻¹). Most of the profile has a very high CEC and, in order to improve the OM content, vinasse and compost must be applied in 150 m³ and 10 t ha⁻¹ doses, respectively (Hernández et al., 2008).

Cb (<.1%). Rendzic Leptosol (LPrz). The main characteristics of these soils are related to their low depth and calcareous rock origins, which provides them with very significant properties such as good OM contents, good nutrient contents, a good infiltration or permeability, a good soil structural development and soil structure stability. However, they have significative problems related to the slope, including: a low radicular volume, a tendency to erosion, a difficult accessibility, and the Ca and Mg saturation. Phosphorus fixation phenomena and iron deficiencies take place when the said saturation reacts to calcium, during the early development stages of the sugarcane crop. The pH is alkaline and the EC does not indicate salinity problems (0.12 dS m⁻¹). The CEC is very high (52.2 cmol(+) kg⁻¹) and the water availability allows the sugarcane crop to achieve yields in excess of 90 t ha⁻¹.

Cb. Pachich Leptic Phaeozem (PHphle). Similar to the Rendzic Leptozol (LPrz), this soil achieves its best yield in the Mex 79-431 cultivar, using the fertilizer dose recommended
by the SIRDF (Integrated System for Recommending Fertilization Rates in Sugar Cane): 120-60-
60 kg ha\(^{-1}\) of N, P\(_2\)O\(_5\), and K\(_2\)O, 92.01 t ha\(^{-1}\) (Pérez et al., 2019).

**Lbe. Calcaric Regosol (RGca).** These Regosols have calcareous properties at least
between 20 and 50 cm from the soil surface. They have good permeability and drainage.
They show a strong reaction to HCl in all their horizons and are also very rocky soils, with
pebbles and gravel throughout the profile. The BD does not reflect compaction problems
(1 g cm\(^{-3}\)); these soils have a moderately alkaline pH and there are no salinity-related
effects (average EC of 0.2 dS m\(^{-1}\)). The superficial horizon of these soils is rich in OM,
which diminishes along with the depth of the profile (6.6-1.1%). These Regosols present a
low CEC, which favors lixiviation, particularly in the cases of K, Ca, and Mg.

**Cbev. Eutric Vertisol (VReu).** This subunit has a >35% clay layer which covers the
whole profile. It has a high-water table that remains flooded during rainy season, causing
the stems to die, as a consequence of the saturation of the pores of the soil. Since these
soils present denitrification problems due to an anaerobic subsoil, a superficial drainage
is recommended. It has a moderately alkaline pH and no salinity problems, as a result of
its ECof <2 dS m\(^{-1}\). These soils have a low CEC that favors lixiviation, particularly in
the cases of K, Ca, and Mg. During the sugarcane cultivation, the soil did not accumulate
potassium, as indicated by Bolio et al. (2008) for Vertisols in Chontalpa, Tabasco. Low
K contents —compared with the high Ca and Mg contents (Table 1)— account for the
foliar deficiency of K (<1.0% in leaf 4) in the sugarcane crops of the Pujiltic region. This
phenomenon took place in spite of the application of the fertilizer dose recommended by
SIRDF and/or 10 t ha\(^{-1}\) of compost, which allows a yield of 60-101.8 t ha\(^{-1}\) (Salgado et
al., 2014).

**Cbve (3%). Pellic Calcic Vertisol (VRpecc).** Similar to Eutric Vertisol (VReu).

**CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

Six factors limit the potential production rate of soils in the area where sugarcane
used in the PSM is cultivated: soil alkalinity, water excess or deficit, clay content, erosion,
nutrient deficiencies, and a low cation exchange capacity. These factors, alone or grouped
together, act in detriment of soil fertility.

Knowledge about the relation between soils, plants, and atmosphere allows us to
consider agricultural drainage, irrigation, and fertilization with macro- and micronutrients
as agronomic practices that would improve the conditions of Gleysol, Vertisol, Fluvisol,
and Cambisol units.
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Table 1. Physical and chemical properties of the superficial horizons of the soil subunits cultivated with sugarcane in the Pujilite Sugarcane Mill, Chiapas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subunit</th>
<th>Horizons (cm)</th>
<th>pH (H₂O)</th>
<th>EC (µS m⁻¹)</th>
<th>OM %</th>
<th>P (mg kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>Ca</th>
<th>Mg</th>
<th>Na</th>
<th>CEC</th>
<th>Da (g cm⁻³)</th>
<th>Clay (%)</th>
<th>Silt (%)</th>
<th>Sand (%)</th>
<th>Texture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CLccw</td>
<td>Ap (0-26)</td>
<td>7.96</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>7.04</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>7.88</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Loamy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A2 (26-43)</td>
<td>8.02</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>7.88</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Clayey Loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAmo</td>
<td>Ap (0-13)</td>
<td>7.22</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>18.87</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>6.41</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Clayey Loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A2 (13-55)</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Clayey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLca</td>
<td>Ap (0-20)</td>
<td>8.06</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>5.44</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>10.67</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Silty Clay Loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A2 (20-40)</td>
<td>8.11</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>7.88</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Silty Clay Loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLmo</td>
<td>Ap (0-22)</td>
<td>7.77</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>69.1</td>
<td>14.31</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>52.7</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Clayey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A2 (22-42)</td>
<td>7.64</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>18.91</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Clayey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPrz</td>
<td>Ap (0-37)</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>49.7</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Clayey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHphle</td>
<td>Ap (0-22)</td>
<td>7.42</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>51.6</td>
<td>9.70</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>54.3</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Clayey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A2 (22-62)</td>
<td>7.49</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>8.89</td>
<td>16.06</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>54.9</td>
<td>10.69</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>59.3</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Clayey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RGca</td>
<td>Ap (0-31)</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>34.65</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>Clayey Loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C (31-65)</td>
<td>8.02</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>Sandy Clay Loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRcu</td>
<td>Ap (0-21)</td>
<td>8.10</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>8.71</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>60.5</td>
<td>13.47</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Clayey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A2 (21-44)</td>
<td>8.10</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>71.2</td>
<td>13.63</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Clayey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRpecc</td>
<td>Ap (0-20)</td>
<td>8.07</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Clayey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A2 (20-49)</td>
<td>8.02</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>61.8</td>
<td>16.92</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Clayey</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Details of the profiles of sugarcane-cultivated soils at the Pujiltic Sugar Mill: a) Chch, b) CLccw, c) CLvr, d) CMmo, e) FLca, f) GLmo, g) LPrz, h) PHphle, i) RGca, j) VReu, and k) Vrecc.

Figure 2. Map of soil subunits at the Pujiltic Sugar Mill, Chiapas.


